|  | **Notes** |
| --- | --- |
| **Title**: Is it reflective of the work? Is it clear and appropriate?  |  |
| **Abstract:**  Is it a clear and accurate summary of the work? Can it be understood without reading the paper |  |
| **Language:** Is it accessible and easy to understand? (Key: for the interdisciplinary audience) |  |
| **Main focus:** What is the main question addressed by the research, and is the article focused on this question? Where are there areas in the text where the author strays from the main argument or discussion?  |  |
| **Originality:** How original is the topic? What does it add to the subject area compared with other published material?  |  |
| **Sources:** Do they reference relevant research? Is this research up to date? |  |
| **Discussion:** Is the discussion well organized? Does it flow well from section to section? Does it cover everything it should cover? |  |
| **Conclusion:** Are the conclusions consistent with the evidence and arguments presented? How do they address the main question posed?  |  |

1. Overall statement or summary of the article and its findings in your own words
2. Overall strengths of the article
3. Specific comments on the weaknesses of the article and what could be improved.

*Major points in the article which need clarification, refinement, reanalysis, rewrites and/or additional information and suggestions for what could be done to improve the article.*

*Minor points like figures/tables not being mentioned in the text, a missing reference, typos and other inconsistencies.*

1. Recommendation

❏ Accept

❏ Accept with minor revisions

❏ Request major revisions

❏ Reject

1. Rationale for recommendation